

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/728,370	Applicant(s) DARLING ET AL.	
	Examiner Mary J. Steelman	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Mary J. Steelman.

(3) _____.

 (2) Daniel D. Ledesma, Reg. No. 57,181.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested Applicant more clearly define claim limitations to reflect invention as disclosed in the Specification. Applicant declined to amend claims at this time.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)